## ATTACHMENT 3: Table 2 – Highlights: Comparison Between Major Features of the Alternative AAC Solar Policy Recommendation and the Original Staff Solar Policy Recommendation

<b>Major Feature</b>	Najor Feature Original Staff Solar Policy Alternate AAC Solar Policy		
<b>Encourage Local Solar</b>	Encourage local solar energy production to meet local	Similar to the original staff policy, but includes revised wording in several original policies to strengthen concept	
and Storage in	energy demand; support California's Renewable Portfolio		
	Standard (RPS).	that urban and developed areas are preferred for solar	
<b>Developed Areas</b>		projects, and that open space and agriculture should be	
	Place <b>highest priority</b> on development of solar development	protected.	
	in the existing built environment.		
		Includes <b>new policy</b> to encourage the State of California to	
	Promote economic development / workforce development	remove obstacles and other undue physical or economic	
	in conjunction with solar projects.	burdens on rooftop and distributed solar energy, to take	
		steps to enhance opportunities for behind-the-meter	
	Implement energy conservation / efficiency measures	renewable energy and storage for each and every	
	identified in the County Climate Action Plan. Promote use of	Californian, and to quantitatively INCLUDE behind-the-	
	energy storage technologies that are appropriate for the	meter solar development in its renewable portfolio	
	character of the proposed location.	standard and calculations of progress toward meeting State	
		2045 renewable energy goals.	
	Identify / prioritize programs that support cost-effective and		
	universal access to solar energy; work with the EBCE to		
	bring increasing levels of solar energy to the County at		
	competitive rates. Require larger solar proposals to give		
	first right of refusal for energy supply to EBCE.	Stand alone utility scale CFFs normalitied only avery veter	
Solar Facility Types and	Stand-Alone Utility Scale SEFs – Conditionally permitted in East County, but interpreted through Measure D by Staff to	<b>Stand-alone utility scale SEFs</b> permitted only over water canals and within railroad rights of way. Conditionally	
Siting (Utility Scale,	mean only in the Large Parcel Agriculture (LPA) designated	permitted only within narrowly defined electrical	
Distributed and	lands. Requires CUP and CEQA analysis.	transmission corridors, which require CUP and CEQA	
Rooftop)	lands. Requires cor and CLQA analysis.	analysis.	
(Noortop)		undrysis.	
	No Solar Energy Facilities (SEF) in the Altamont Pass Wind	No Solar Energy Facilities (SEF) in the Altamont Pass Wind	
	Resource Area (APWRA) unless it can be demonstrated that	Resource Area (APWRA) unless it can be demonstrated	
	the SEF will not adversely affect the <b>avian monitoring</b> that is	that the SEF will not adversely affect the avian population	
	conducted as a condition of approval.	and monitoring that is conducted as a condition of	
		approval.	
	Rooftop solar assumed to be permitted by right.	Rooftop solar assumed to be permitted by right.	

Color Facility Tymas and	Distribution scale solar energy facilities are encouraged but	Distribution scale solar energy facilities and microgrids are	
Solar Facility Types and	not explicitly limited in size or location.	constrained to the required development envelope and the	
Siting (Utility Scale,	not explicitly inflitted in size of location.	legal rooftops of agricultural buildings on a parcel; may be	
Distributed and		conditionally permitted in any land use designation.	
Rooftop)(Continued)		conditionally permitted in any land use designation.	
,	<b>Utility Scale Agrivoltaics</b> are not explicitly mentioned, but it is assumed that they would be treated as either utility scale or distributed, depending on their size, location and method of	Utility Scale Agrivoltaics projects, as described in the Policy, may be sited and conditionally permitted anywhere on agricultural lands (LPA, RM or WM designations)	
	connection to the grid.	provided it is integrated fully with agriculture, and subject to siting pursuant to Solar Mapping.	
	<b>Battery Storage Facilities</b> not broadly mentioned, but assumed to be coordinated with solar in some cases.	Battery Storage Facilities explicitly conditionally permitted along with solar if proportional in size; also conditionally	
		permitted in electrical transmission corridors and adjacent	
		to substations if proportional in size to those facilities, or in	
		areas zoned for industrial use. Placement of Battery	
		Storage encouraged first in developed areas and adjacent	
		to end users.	
<b>Solar Mapping Program</b>	<b>Solar Mapping not explicitly discussed</b> , but could be pursued.	Solar mapping as a public input process explicitly required	
Requirement		before siting of any utility scale solar projects.	
Measure D Consistency	Permitted SEFs may be <b>consistent with Measure D</b> as needed	Similar to Original Staff Policy, but includes battery storage	
livieusure D consistency	infrastructure and a quasi-public use. Utilizing the Measure D	as needed infrastructure, provided they meet the siting and	
	definition of infrastructure, SEFs are not limited to a two acre	type requirements which specify parameters for	
	building envelope as they are needed for permissible	agrivoltaics and SEFs in transmission corridors.	
	development and are considered a utility use.		
	Stand-alone Battery Storage not considered in any depth.	Stand-alone battery storage facilities may only be located	
		in a utility corridor, on the parcel of an adjacent existing	
		substations; or on a parcel adjacent to an existing	
		substation; or in land use designations defined by the	
		County for light or heavy industry; and shall be subject to	
		mitigation	
		Stand-alone batteries storage facilities considered	
		infrastructure only when located within an existing power	
		transmission corridor OR when located adjacent to an	
		existing substation provided it is appropriately sized to the	
		capacity of the tie-in location, and in which cases may	
		exceed the .01 FAR and 2 acre building envelope.	

	Not evaluably montioned Assumed associate	Agriculture and described in detail with definitions
Agrivoltaics	Not explicitly mentioned. Assumed possible.	Agrivoltaics are described in detail, with definitions,
		limitations and minimum requirements.
		Conditionally require the data on cools are any social type.
		Conditionally permitted at any scale on any agricultural
		lands in land use designations including LPA, RM and WM.
		Compatible with the provisions of Measure D, provided
		they meet the requirements as set forth in Policies 24, 25
		and 26.
		Must substantially enhance agriculture on the site.
		Widst substantially enhance agriculture on the site.
		Must submit an onsite business, agriculture and land /
		natural resource management plan, to enhance agriculture
		and agricultural land as defined. Enhancement shall
		increase one or more agricultural production indexes
		including either (a) average gross commodity units
		produced per crop life cycle of agricultural products for
		commercial purposes, AND/OR (b) average gross income
		produced per crop life cycle from agricultural products or
		services for commercial purposes. AAC to review before
		approval. Subject to 5-year periodic review.
		Solar energy siting programs (including mapping) on
		agricultural lands shall be developed before any facility
		siting.
Agricultural Land	Encourage dual use of SEFs and agricultural uses on the same	In the Alternate AAC Draft, SEFs are not encouraged on any
Preservation and	parcel to the extent the agricultural use remains viable and the	agricultural lands, but are accommodated – agrivoltaics on
	SEF does not degrade the present or future suitability of the	any lands in the LPA, RM or WM designations; and stand-
Treatment	land for agricultural purposes.	alone SEFs in transmission corridors or on canals /
		railroads.
	In cases where SEFs (anywhere in the LPA land use	In cases where SEFs that are not Agrivoltaics (meaning
	designation) are located on Important Farmlandsthe County	stand-alone SEFs in transmission corridors or
	shall address the loss of any such lands by requiring	canals/railroads) are located on Important Farmlandsthe
	mitigationThe mitigation shall be commensurate with the	same requirements apply as for the original Staff
	identified impact and bear a nexus to the general concept of	Recommendation, EXCEPT that(see next page)
	preserving agriculture on important farmlands.	
	Mitigation (permanent easements, payment of in-lieu fees	
	programmable for the long-range preservation of agricultural	

## Agricultural Land Preservation and Treatment (continued)

land uses, or other mitigation and/or community benefit) are required.

Any land easement serving as mitigation shall be maintained for the duration of the project until the project land is returned to a comparable state (of productivity) prior to the land development; or

**Submit an on-site agricultural management plan** which demonstrates to the satisfaction of the County decision-making body that viable commercial agricultural activity will continue on at least half of the property in conjunction with the SEF for the life of the SEF. Dual use is also encouraged in these cases.

Williamson Act Compatibility: All SEFs located on Williamson Act Contracted lands must either be designed to be compatible with the Act under Uniform Rule 2, Section II. E. 3 of the Alameda County Uniform Rules and Procedures Governing Agricultural Preserves and Williamson Act Contracts, or otherwise demonstrate consistency with the Principles of Compatibility found in Uniform Rule 2, Section I. A.

[The Original Staff Recommendation also included an allowance for application to modify the Williamson Act Contract to a Solar-Use Easement as allowed by State Law, Government Code section 51191; however, the California Department of Conservation has announced as 2021 that this program has been discontinued.]

**SEFs in the South Livermore Valley Plan** limited to building mounted structures or ground mounted facilities over existing impervious surfaces within the designated building envelope. Removal of vineyards not be permitted.

....The submittal of an onsite agricultural management plan for stand-alone SEFs in electrical corridors or canals/railroads has been discontinued; but a similar but more comprehensive requirement has been preserved and revised for utility scale agrivoltaics located anywhere.

Williamson Act Compatibility – The Alternate AAC Draft allows two possible options for the Board Transportation and Planning Committee to consider. Option (a) would be very similar to the Staff Recommendation, but would also explicitly state that cancellation of the contract is required for any SEF that exceeds 10% or 10 acres of the subject parcel, whichever is smaller. Option (b), as applied to an agrivoltaics project specifically, would consider the agrivoltaics project as a primary use as agriculture, and thus not subject to compatibility requirements, and to be expanded across up to 100% of the subject parcel.

No allowance for Solar Use Easement.

South Livermore Valley policy is very similar in the AAC Recommendation, but also allows for installation along internal roadways and fence lines.

Agricultural Land	Proposed Policy Modifications for ECAP Consistency:	Proposed Policy Modifications for ECAP Consistency:
Preservation and Treatment (continued)	Policy 71 – Proposed Modification: The County shall conserve prime soils (Class I and Class II, as defined by the USDA Soil Conservation Service Land Capability Classification) and Farmland of Statewide Importance and Unique Farmland (as defined by the California Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program) outside the Urban Growth Boundary; photovoltaic SEF development shall be considered as conserving of the prime soils when approved along with a Decommissioning and Restoration Plan as described in Policy (31).	Similar to Original Staff Recommendation, but made more specific to apply ONLY to agrivoltaics projects rather than any stand-alone SEF.
	Policy 72 – Proposed Modification: The County shall conserve preserve the soils and lands of the Mountain House area for intensive agricultural use; photovoltaic SEF development shall be considered as conserving of the land and its soils for intensive agricultural use when approved along with a Decommissioning and Restoration Plan as described in Policy (31).	
Natural Resources and Environmental Review	Apply standards to design, siting, and operation of all SEFs that protect the environment, including sensitive biological resources, air quality, water supply and quality, cultural, archaeological, paleontological and scenic resources.  Encourage siting, construction and screening of SEFs to avoid, minimize or mitigate significant changes to the visual environment including minimizing light and glare.	The Alternate AAC Recommendation is similar to the Original Staff Recommendation, but strengthens and broadens the protective language, identifies other data sources, and incorporates additional visual policies.
	Utilize the East Alameda County Conservation Strategy (EACCS) to determine appropriate Solar Energy Facilities (SEF) siting biological mitigation.  Place and maintain land of equivalent quality either on-site or	

off-site within Alameda County under **permanent easement** 

for any natural habitat displaced.

Community-Oriented Energy Facilities	Several policies concentrated on Community-oriented solar energy, modular solar energy systems that generate electricity as needed. Their priority is "local production primarily for local consumption". Community-oriented facilities are often owned by non-utility entities, such as schools, neighborhoods, coops, communities or businesses that offset all or part of their on-site electrical need.	All of the policies from the Original Staff Recommendation are incorporated into other sections and strengthened to encourage local development before open space utility scale SEFs.
Decommissioning and Restoration Plan	The County shall require SEF developers to provide and implement a decommissioning and restoration plan that provides for reclamation of the site to a condition at least as good as that which existed before the lands were disturbed or another appropriate end useshould include the following at a minimum (summary):  A plan and timeframe for removal of all equipment and components;  Removal of graveled areas and access roads and restoration of the surface grade and placement of topsoilto return the site to an appropriate end use;  Revegetation of disturbed lands  Handling and disposal of waste that will comply with all applicable regulations and standards; and A statement signed by the owner/operator that they take full responsibility for restoring the site; Inspection after all decommissioning and site restoration work to ensure that the work has been completed to the standards required by the County, prior to release of the decommissioning and restoration bond.  Prior to the issuance of a Building Permita Financial Assurance or securityshould be required to secure the expense of dismantling and removing the Solar Energy Facilities (SEF) and restoring the site. A SEF that ceases to produce electricity on a continuous basis for twelve months should be considered abandoned and the owner/operator would be required to complete the requirements in the restoration plan.	Identical policy for Alternate AAC Recommendation

Monitoring and	The County will impose permit fees for Solar Energy Facilities	Under the AAC Recommendation, greatly expanded to also
Inspection	(SEF) that will be used to defray the cost of permit processing, inspection and enforcement.	include:
	inspection and emoreoments	Annual reports shall be required of the operator of a
		utility scale SEF, to be delivered to the County director of
		community development on the anniversary date of the
		start of construction. The annual report shall include a
		statement describing compliance with each condition of
		approval and with the agricultural management plan for
		the project site; and an appropriate assessment of natural
		resource progress pursuant to the natural resources
		plan
		The community development agency shall arrange for
		inspection of a utility scale SEF within six months of
		receipt of the annual reportto determine whether the
		SEF is in compliance with the approved permit and/or
		reclamation plan and approved financial assurances. In
		the case of an agrivoltaics SEF, the inspection shall also
		verify compliance with the agricultural management plan and natural resources plan
		Said inspections may be made by County Staff and, for AV
		projects, qualified experts who have experience in
		agriculture, agronomy, or soil science and natural
		resources
		SEF permits and approved reclamation/restoration plans,
		and for AV SEFs consistency with agricultural management
		plans and natural resource plans, shall be reviewed by the
		East County Board of Zoning Adjustments, in accordance
		with the schedule adopted at the time of approval to
		consider new or changed circumstances that should be
		accommodated by the permit or plan. The review shall
		include public hearings before the AAC for
		recommendation and EBZA. At the conclusion of the
		public hearing, the EBZA may modify the permit or
		reclamation/ restoration plan to conform to with this
		chapter, and such modified permit or plan shall be binding

upon the operation.